

INFORMATION POLICY AND ETHICS: NAVIGATING COMPLEX ISSUES IN THE INFORMATION LANDSCAPE

¹BAKARE, M. O. & ²ISSAH, A. Y.

¹*Department of Library and Information Science, Kwara State College of Arabic and Islamic Legal Studies, Ilorin.*

²*Department of Computer Science, Kwara State College of Arabic and Islamic Legal Studies, Ilorin.*

Abstract

The rapid advancements in digital technologies, including big data, social media, and artificial intelligence, have revolutionized access to and dissemination of information. However, this transformation has also introduced complex ethical and policy challenges. Issues such as privacy, intellectual property rights, misinformation, cybersecurity, and equitable access to information have become central concerns in the information landscape. This paper identified several complex issues in the information landscape. Also, the paper explores the role of information policy and ethics in digital age. Additionally, the paper developed strategies to address the complex issues in the information landscape. As information becomes more integral to all aspects of life, ensuring that it is used ethically and governed responsibly is critical for promoting transparency, protecting human rights, and fostering societal trust in digital systems. The paper concludes by advocating for collaborative, multi-disciplinary approaches to navigating the evolving information landscape, ensuring that policy and ethics keep pace with technological advancements.

Keywords: Information, Information Policy, Information Ethics, Information Landscape

Introduction

In the modern information landscape, the creation, distribution, and consumption of information are occurring at unprecedented rates. This is connected to the advent of digital technologies that produced vast amounts of information daily, and are accessible almost instantaneously to anyone with internet access. While this offers unprecedented opportunities for communication, education, and innovation, it also brings about a set of complex ethical and policy challenges. Most importantly, the rise of Big Data (BD), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Social Media (SM), and other technologies has intensified the need for clear, comprehensive information policies and ethical frameworks that ensure the responsible management and use of information. the concept of Information Policy (IP) refers to the rules, regulations, and principles that govern the creation, dissemination, accessibility, and use of information in society. These policies are essential in shaping how information is managed in various sectors, including government, education, healthcare, and business. In addition to this, information policy encompasses a wide range of issues, such as intellectual property rights, privacy, access to information, cybersecurity, and data governance (Braman, 2009). Therefore, as society becomes more reliant on digital technologies and data-driven decision-making, the need for effective information policy becomes more critical to ensure that information is used in ways that benefit society while mitigating potential harms.

On the other hands, Information Ethics (IE) complements information policy by addressing the moral principles that guide the behavior of individuals and institutions in their handling of information. The ethical considerations in the information age include issues of privacy, intellectual property, the digital divide, freedom of expression, and the impact of information technologies on human dignity and autonomy (Capurro, 2006). In an era where personal data is commodified, misinformation is rampant, and surveillance technologies are pervasive. Therefore, navigating the ethical dimensions of information use has become a pressing concern. It is worth noting that ethical dilemmas arise when technological advancements outpace the development of policies and regulations, creating grey areas where the responsible use of information is unclear. One of the most pressing issues in the contemporary information landscape is privacy. This is

because, the proliferation of digital platforms, individuals share vast amounts of personal information online, often without fully understanding how this data is collected, stored, and used.

The widespread use of data analytics and AI for targeted advertising, social profiling, and even political manipulation raises significant concerns about consent, data ownership, and surveillance (Zuboff, 2019). Another issue is access to information, which is essential for promoting transparency, democracy, and social equity. In many parts of the world, access to information is restricted by censorship, limited internet connectivity, or economic barriers. This is commonly term as digital divide, which refers to the gap between those who have access to modern information and communication technologies and those who do not, exacerbates existing social and economic inequalities (Van Dijk, 2020). Information policy and ethics must address these challenges by establishing standards for data protection, ensuring transparency in data collection practices, and protecting individuals' rights to privacy. In addition, information policies must promote equitable access to information, while ethical frameworks should guide the responsible development and deployment of technologies to prevent further marginalization of vulnerable populations.

In addition to privacy and access to information, cybersecurity is another integral component of modern information policy. Due to the fact that the increasing reliance on digital infrastructure for communication, commerce, and critical services, protecting information systems from cyberattacks is paramount. Ethical considerations in cybersecurity include the balance between national security and individual privacy, as well as the responsibility of governments and corporations to protect sensitive information from malicious actors. Therefore, cybersecurity policies must address not only technical safeguards but also ethical concerns related to surveillance, civil liberties, and the militarization of cyberspace (Singer & Friedman, 2014). Based on the above submission, it can be deduced that navigating the complex issues in the information landscape requires a multidisciplinary approach that integrates insights from law, technology, ethics, and public policy. Therefore, librarians, policymakers, technologists, and other stakeholders must work together to create information policies that promote transparency, accountability, and social justice, while ethical principles should guide the responsible use of information in ways that respect human rights and dignity. Most especially, in an age where information is power, it is important to ensure that information is used ethically and for building a just and informed society. It is against this backdrop that this paper was set out to explore the critical areas of information policy and ethics, and how these issues will be address to foster a more just and informed society.

Problem Statement

The contemporary information landscape is characterized by the constant flow of data, facilitated by digital platforms and cutting-edge technologies. While these advancements provide immense benefits in terms of accessibility, innovation, and communication, they have also created a host of complex challenges related to information policy and ethics. The most fundamental factors to these challenges are the issues of privacy, equitable access to information, cybersecurity among others. This is because lack of robust data protection policies has exposed individuals to privacy violations, as organizations and governments increasingly collect and commodify personal data without adequate consent or transparency (Zuboff, 2019). Cybersecurity threats add another layer of complexity. In the view of this, individuals, organizations, and governments must navigate a landscape rife with vulnerabilities to cyberattacks and data breaches (Singer & Friedman, 2014). Furthermore, these issues are exacerbated by the fact that information policies and ethical frameworks have struggled to keep pace with rapid technological innovation. Therefore, in addressing these challenges, it requires comprehensive, interdisciplinary strategies that integrate legal, technological, and ethical perspectives. In addition to this, there is a critical need for updated information policies that ensure privacy protection, foster equitable access to information, and ensuring cybersecurity measures that do not compromise individual rights. In is in the light of this that this paper aims to identify the key issues in information policy and ethics by proposing a roadmap for navigating these complex issues in a rapidly evolving information landscape.

Aim and Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study is to explore the role of information policy and ethics in navigating complex issues in the information landscape. The objectives are specifically to:

- i. discuss the complex issues in information landscape in 21st century;
- ii. highlight the role of information policy in a digital society;
- iii. navigate ethical considerations in information handling in 21st century; and
- iv. frame out strategies to address complex issues in information landscape.

Methodology

This paper follows PRISMA guidelines for this review. This is done through comprehensive search using academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. Furthermore, keywords used in the search included "information policy," "information ethics," "cybersecurity and information," "data protection and ethical consideration," "access to information and ethics," and "digital society and information ethics."

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: The inclusion criteria include peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and case studies from 2006–2020; studies focused on information policy and ethics; and articles written in English while exclusion criteria are studies that focus on general information systems without specific application to information policy and ethics; and papers published before 2006.

Data Extraction and Synthesis: The data extracted from selected studies included year of publication. The data was then categorized according to themes such as complex issues in information landscape in 21st century; roles of information in a digital society; ethical consideration in information handling in 21st century; and strategies to address complex issues in information landscape.

1. Complex Issues in Information Landscape in 21st Century

The information landscape is increasingly complex and influenced in 21st century by rapid advancements in technology, proliferation of data, and changing of social dynamics. Below are some key complex issues in this landscape:

- a. **Information Overload:** The digital age has led to an explosion of information. With more content being produced than ever before, users often struggle to identify what is relevant and trustworthy. According to a study by the Pew Research Center, a significant percentage of Americans feel overwhelmed by the amount of information available online, leading to difficulties in decision-making and increased anxiety (Pew Research Center, 2016).
- b. **Misinformation and Disinformation:** The rise of social media platforms has facilitated the rapid spread of misinformation and disinformation. Misinformation refers to false or misleading information shared without malicious intent, while disinformation is spread with the intent to deceive. Research shows that false news stories are 70% more likely to be retweeted than true stories (Vosoughi, Roy, & Aral, 2018). This issue is particularly concerning in the context of public health, as seen during the COVID-19 pandemic, where misinformation regarding vaccines and treatments proliferated.
- c. **Echo Chambers and Filter Bubbles:** Social media algorithms often create "filter bubbles," where users are exposed predominantly to information that aligns with their existing beliefs. This can lead to echo chambers that reinforce biases and polarize opinions. Research by Pariser (2011) highlights how these bubbles can limit users' exposure to diverse perspectives, which is critical for informed citizenship in a democratic society.
- d. **Privacy Concerns:** As more personal information is shared online, so the privacy concerns have surged. Users often unknowingly give up their data through various digital interactions. For example, the Cambridge Analytica scandal exemplifies how personal data can be harvested and used without consent for political advertising (Cadwalladr & Graham-Harrison, 2018). This raises ethical questions about data ownership, consent, and the responsibilities of tech companies in protecting user information.
- e. **Digital Divide:** Despite the vast amounts of information available, not everyone has equal access to it. The digital divide refers to the gap between those who have easy access to digital technologies and those who do not, often due to socioeconomic factors. A report from the International

Telecommunication Union (ITU) indicates that approximately 3.7 billion people remain unconnected to the internet, highlighting disparities in access to information and digital resources (ITU, 2021).

- f. **Intellectual Property and Copyright Issues:** The ease of sharing information online has raised concerns about intellectual property rights and copyright infringement. The digital environment complicates the enforcement of copyright laws, as seen with the widespread sharing of music, movies, and literature without proper licensing. The challenge lies in balancing the protection of creators' rights with the public's right to access information (Lessig, 2008).

Based on the above, the complex issues in the information landscape requires a multifaceted approach that involves education, regulation, and technological innovation. Stakeholders, including governments, tech companies, and individuals, must work collaboratively to address these challenges to foster a more informed and equitable society.

2. Role of Information Policy in Digital Society

The concept of information policy governs the management and use of information, ensuring that it is accessible, secure, and ethically handled. It encompasses a broad spectrum of issues, including intellectual property, privacy, data protection, freedom of expression, and access to information (Braman, 2009). The following are the role of information policy in this digital society:

- i. **Access to Information:** One of the primary roles of information policy is to ensure access to information in a digital society. Many scholars highlight the importance of equitable access to information for societal development, especially in terms of education, healthcare, and economic empowerment. For example, Bertot, Jaeger, and McClure (2011) emphasize the critical role of information policies in bridging the digital divide, arguing that policies promoting universal broadband access and open data are essential for ensuring that marginalized populations are not excluded from the benefits of the digital economy. Additionally, Braman (2011) points out that information policies are crucial in the global governance of internet access, where open data and internet neutrality are key policy initiatives.
- ii. **Privacy and Data Protection:** Another role of information policy in digital society is privacy and data protection. In this digital society, privacy and data protection are central concerns in a digital society, where vast amounts of personal data are collected, stored, and processed. The European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is one of the most widely studied frameworks in this regard. Scholars such as Cavoukian (2012) argue that GDPR has set a global benchmark for privacy protection by emphasizing user consent, data minimization, and transparency. Similarly, Schwartz and Solove (2014) highlight that robust information policies addressing privacy concerns enhance public trust in digital platforms, which is crucial for the functioning of e-commerce, digital health, and government services. However, despite strong privacy regulations like GDPR, literature points to challenges in enforcement and the need for global harmonization. Meanwhile, Greenleaf (2019) noted that while GDPR has been adopted as a model by many countries, differences in national data protection frameworks can lead to fragmentation, complicating compliance for multinational companies.
- iii. **Cybersecurity and National Security:** Information policy also plays a key role in addressing cybersecurity and national security concerns. Taddeo (2012) emphasizes that as digital infrastructures become more integrated into national security frameworks, policies regulating cybersecurity become crucial in safeguarding sensitive data and protecting against cyberattacks. The Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act (CISA) in the U.S., for example, encourages information sharing between private and public sectors to enhance threat detection and prevention, as noted by Kumar and Skidmore (2018). However, cybersecurity policies often raise privacy concerns, creating a policy tension that needs careful management.
- iv. **Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation:** Freedom of expression in digital environments is a highly debated topic in the literature. Gillespie (2018) focuses on the role of social media platforms as both publishers and moderators of content, highlighting the complex relationship between free speech, censorship, and content moderation. Information policies like the European Union's Digital Services Act (DSA) are designed to regulate online platforms and ensure that harmful content is removed while

preserving the right to free expression, as noted by Flew (2020). However, as Douek (2020) points out, the application of content moderation policies is fraught with difficulties, particularly when trying to balance the need for a free and open internet with the necessity to limit hate speech, misinformation, and other forms of harmful content.

- v. **Economic Growth and Innovation:** The role of information policy in promoting economic growth and innovation is well-established in this digital age. Tapscott (2014) argues that open data policies, which mandate the release of public sector information, have spurred innovation in various sectors including health, transportation, and finance. The European Union's Open Data Directive has been particularly influential in promoting the use of government data for economic development, as noted by Davies (2019). However, some scholars, such as Kitchin (2014), warn that open data policies need to be carefully designed to protect privacy and prevent the misuse of personal information.

3. Ethical Considerations in Information Handling in 21st Century

It is widely believed that information is the bedrock of modern society, essential for decision-making in various sectors such as healthcare, education, business, and governance. However, the ways in which information is handled raise significant ethical questions concerning privacy, accuracy, intellectual property, and equity. Ethical considerations in information handling refer to the moral principles that guide individuals and organizations in the management, dissemination, and use of information. Below are the key ethical issues related to information handling.

- i. **Privacy and Confidentiality:** One of the most widely discussed ethical concerns in information handling is the protection of privacy. With the rise of big data, artificial intelligence, and data mining, individuals' personal information is increasingly collected and analyzed, raising concerns about how that information is used and shared. According to Floridi (2013), privacy is a fundamental human right, and the ethical handling of personal data requires organizations to ensure that information is collected, stored, and shared with explicit consent and in compliance with privacy laws. Mishandling personal data can lead to breaches of confidentiality, identity theft, and other forms of harm. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) enacted in the European Union in 2018 underscores the importance of ethical data handling, mandating that organizations safeguard personal data and ensure transparency in how it is used (Voigt & Von dem Bussche, 2017). GDPR's focus on user consent, the right to be forgotten, and data minimization highlights the ethical obligation to prioritize the individual's autonomy over the exploitation of personal data. Librarians and information professionals, as stewards of vast amounts of personal and sensitive information, are particularly obligated to adhere to ethical guidelines that protect user privacy (Rubel & Jones, 2016).
- ii. **Accuracy and Truthfulness in Information Dissemination:** Accuracy in information handling is another key ethical issue, particularly in the context of fake news and misinformation. Information professionals, including journalists, librarians, and researchers, have an ethical duty to ensure that the information they share is accurate, credible, and truthful. The rapid spread of misinformation, especially in digital spaces, has raised questions about the role of information professionals in verifying facts before dissemination. The American Library Association's (ALA) Code of Ethics emphasizes the responsibility of librarians to provide accurate, unbiased information to their users (ALA, 2008). Ethical information handling involves critically assessing sources, verifying facts, and avoiding the dissemination of false or misleading information. Misinformation can have significant social impacts, including influencing political outcomes, shaping public perceptions of critical issues, and leading to harmful behaviors, as seen during the COVID-19 pandemic (Vosoughi, Roy, & Aral, 2018). Therefore, ensuring the accuracy of information is not only a professional obligation but also an ethical imperative that helps maintain public trust in information institutions.
- iii. **Accessibility and Equity in Information Distribution:** Ethical information handling also involves ensuring equitable access to information. Access to information is considered a fundamental right, as recognized in Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (United Nations, 1948). However, disparities in access to information persist, particularly along lines of socioeconomic status, geography, and digital literacy. Ethical information professionals are tasked with addressing these

inequities by ensuring that information is available to all individuals, regardless of their background or resources. The concept of information justice, introduced by Jaeger, Taylor, and Gorham (2015), suggests that librarians and information professionals have a responsibility to bridge the information divide by providing equal access to information resources. This involves addressing barriers such as digital illiteracy, limited access to technology, and systemic inequalities that prevent marginalized populations from accessing the information they need. Ethical considerations in this context include designing inclusive services and advocating for policies that support universal access to information.

- iv. **Intellectual Property and Information Use:** Intellectual property (IP) rights represent another area of ethical concern in information handling. IP law grants the creators control over their work, ensuring that they are properly credited and compensated for their intellectual contributions. However, the digital age has complicated IP considerations, as the internet enables easy access to and dissemination of copyrighted materials. Ethical information handling requires respecting copyright laws, avoiding plagiarism, and ensuring that creators' rights are not violated. Librarians, researchers, and educators frequently navigate the ethical challenges of balancing access to information with the need to respect intellectual property. The "fair use" doctrine allows for limited use of copyrighted materials for purposes such as education and research, but professionals must carefully assess the context in which information is used to ensure that it aligns with legal and ethical standards (Palfrey, 2012). Additionally, the growing popularity of open access publishing has introduced new ethical questions regarding the ownership of scholarly work and the need to balance free access to knowledge with respect for creators' rights (Suber, 2012).
- v. **Ethical Challenges in the Age of Big Data and Artificial Intelligence:** The advent of big data and artificial intelligence (AI) has introduced new ethical challenges in information handling. Big data allows organizations to collect and analyze vast amounts of information, often without the knowledge or consent of individuals. Ethical concerns arise regarding the surveillance, profiling, and potential misuse of such data. Furthermore, algorithms used to process big data can perpetuate biases, leading to discriminatory outcomes in areas such as hiring, healthcare, and law enforcement (O'Neil, 2016). Ethical information handling in the era of AI requires transparency, accountability, and fairness. According to Floridi and Taddeo (2016), AI systems should be designed with ethical principles in mind, ensuring that data is used responsibly and that potential biases are addressed. Information professionals have a duty to critically assess the tools they use, advocate for ethical AI practices, and educate users about the ethical implications of data use and algorithmic decision-making.

4. Strategies for Addressing Complex Issues in Information Landscape

Navigating the complex issues in the information landscape requires a careful balance of technological literacy, critical thinking, policy engagement, and ethical considerations. Below are strategies and actions to address the most pressing challenges in Information Landscape:

- a. **Combating Misinformation and Disinformation:** To mitigate the spread of false information, there needs to be a concerted effort involving technological solutions, media literacy education, and policy enforcement. This can be done through:
 - i. **Algorithmic Solutions:** Platforms like Facebook and Twitter have implemented algorithmic changes to identify and reduce the spread of misinformation. For example, fact-checking systems and content flagging mechanisms help users distinguish between credible and misleading sources. However, these systems must be transparent to avoid suppressing legitimate information (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).
 - ii. **Media Literacy Programs:** Educating the public about how to critically assess information sources is essential. Media literacy programs in schools and public campaigns can equip people with the skills to discern credible information from falsehoods. Studies show that people trained in media literacy are less likely to share misinformation (Guess, Nagler, & Tucker, 2019).
 - iii. **Policy Interventions:** Governments are beginning to enact laws to combat disinformation. For instance, the European Union's *Code of Practice on Disinformation* obligates social media platforms to take stronger action against the spread of false information (European Commission, 2018). Nonetheless, there is a fine line between regulation and censorship, especially in free societies.

- b. Addressing Privacy and Data Security Concerns:** The balance between privacy, data collection, and digital convenience remains a critical issue. Ensuring data protection while maintaining the utility of digital tools requires careful navigation which done through:
- Encryption and Data Control:** Companies and policymakers need to strengthen encryption standards and provide users with more control over their data. For example, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union empowers users to have more control over their personal data and provides legal recourse for misuse (European Union, 2016). Other countries are following suit with similar data protection frameworks.
 - Ethical AI Use:** As AI continues to permeate sectors like health, finance, and social media, there is a need for ethical AI frameworks that address biases and data privacy. Organizations like AI Now Institute advocate for greater accountability in AI deployment, emphasizing the importance of unbiased data sets and transparent decision-making processes (AI Now Institute, 2019).
- c. Bridging the Digital Divide:** To reduce inequalities in access to information, targeted policies and initiatives must be implemented to bridge the digital divide. The bridging can be achieved through the following:
- Infrastructure Development:** Expanding internet access in underserved regions is crucial. Initiatives such as the UN's *Sustainable Development Goals* prioritize affordable internet access as a pathway to socioeconomic progress (United Nations, 2021). Governments and private enterprises need to collaborate to enhance infrastructure in rural and underdeveloped areas, as demonstrated by efforts like SpaceX's Starlink satellite internet.
 - Affordable Digital Tools:** Reducing the cost of digital tools such as smartphones, tablets, and internet service is vital to ensure inclusivity. Public-private partnerships can work to subsidize technology for marginalized populations, making access to information more equitable.
- d. Balancing Intellectual Property with Open Access:** In the information age, intellectual property rights must be balanced with the need for open access to knowledge, particularly in academic, artistic, and scientific domains.
- Creative Commons Licenses:** Initiatives like Creative Commons provide an alternative to restrictive copyright laws by allowing creators to share their work more freely while retaining some rights (Lessig, 2008). This fosters innovation, collaboration, and access to information.
 - Open Access Journals:** In academia, there is a growing push for open access publications, which make scholarly research freely available to the public. The *Plan S* initiative, driven by European funding agencies, mandates that all publicly funded research must be published in open access journals (cOAlition, 2018). This is intended to remove financial barriers to scientific knowledge.
- e. Promoting Diverse Voices in the Information Ecosystem:** One key issue in navigating the information landscape is ensuring diversity of perspectives, especially in the face of algorithmic echo chambers by following the following strategies:
- Algorithmic Diversity:** Social media companies must develop algorithms that promote a diversity of perspectives rather than merely reinforcing existing beliefs. Research shows that exposure to diverse viewpoints can reduce polarization and encourage more nuanced thinking (Bail et al., 2018).
 - Support for Independent Journalism:** Independent journalism plays a crucial role in offering diverse viewpoints, particularly in authoritarian contexts where state-controlled media may dominate. Organizations like the *International Consortium of Investigative Journalists* (ICIJ) work to uncover corruption and promote investigative reporting that holds power to account (ICIJ, 2020).

Conclusion

Navigating the complex issues of information policy and ethics requires a multidimensional approach that integrates legal, technological, and ethical considerations. In the digital age, where information is a powerful and often contested resource, ensuring that it is managed responsibly is crucial for safeguarding privacy, promoting equity, and protecting democratic values. Therefore, policymakers, technologists, librarians and citizens must work together to create ethical frameworks and policies that balance innovation with accountability, ensuring that information is used for the public good while protecting individual rights and societal values.

References

- AI Now Institute. (2019). *AI Now 2019 Report*.
- American Library Association (ALA). (2008). *Code of ethics of the American Library Association*.
- Bail, C. A., et al. (2018). Exposure to opposing views on social media can increase political polarization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(37), 9216-9221.
- Bertot, J.C., Jaeger, P.T., & McClure, C.R. (2011). Public libraries and the Internet 2010: Roles, perspectives, and key challenges. *Library & Information Science Research*, 33(1), 3-10.
- Braman, S. (2009). *Change of state: Information, policy, and power*. MIT Press.
- Braman, S. (2011). Defining information policy. *Journal of Information Policy*, 1, 1-5.
- Cadwalladr, C., & Graham-Harrison, E. (2018). Cambridge Analytica: How did it turn Facebook 'likes' into votes? *The Guardian*.
- Capurro, R. (2006). Ethical challenges of the information society in the 21st century. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 8(4), 155-163.
- Cavoukian, A. (2012). Privacy by design: Origins, meaning, and prospects. *Privacy Protection Research*, 1, 1-15.
- cOAlition S. (2018). *Plan S: Making full and immediate Open Access a reality*.
- European Commission. (2018). Code of practice on disinformation.
- European Commission. (2021). Proposal for a regulation on a European approach for Artificial Intelligence.
- European Union. (2016). General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).
- Flew, T. (2020). Regulating platforms. *Internet Policy Review*, 9(4), 1-12.
- Floridi, L. (2013). *The ethics of information*. Oxford University Press.
- Floridi, L., & Taddeo, M. (2016). What is data ethics? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 374(2083), 20160360.
- Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.
- Greenleaf, G. (2019). Global data privacy laws 2019: 132 national laws and many bills. *Privacy Laws & Business International Report*, 157, 1-7.
- Guess, A., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. (2019). Less misinformation, more polarization? *American Journal of Political Science*.
- Hargreaves, I. (2011). *Digital opportunity: A review of intellectual property and growth*. UK Intellectual Property Office.
- ICIJ. (2020). *International Consortium of Investigative Journalists Report*.
- International Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2021). *Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2021*.
- Jaeger, P. T., Taylor, N. G., & Gorham, U. (2015). *Libraries, human rights, and social justice: Enabling access and promoting inclusion*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Lessig, L. (2008). *Remix: Making art and commerce thrive in the hybrid economy*. Penguin Press.
- Mueller, M. (2020). Against sovereignty in cyberspace. *International Studies Review*, 22(3), 1-24.
- O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.
- Palfrey, J. (2012). *Intellectual property strategy*. MIT Press.
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press.
- Pew Research Center. (2016). *The future of free speech, trolls, anonymity and fake news online*.
- Rubel, A., & Jones, K. M. (2016). Student privacy in learning analytics: An information ethics perspective. *The Information Society*, 32(2), 143-159.
- Schwartz, P.M., & Solove, D.J. (2014). Reconciling personal information in the United States and European Union. *California Law Review*, 102(4), 877-916.
- Singer, P. W., & Friedman, A. (2014). *Cybersecurity and cyberwar: What everyone needs to know*. Oxford University Press.
- Suber, P. (2012). *Open access*. MIT Press.
- Taddeo, M. (2012). Cybersecurity and individual rights: Conflicting interests or complementary ends? *Philosophy & Technology*, 25(3), 1-13.
- United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*.

- United Nations. (2021). *Sustainable Development Goals Report*.
- Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. Polity Press.
- Voigt, P., & Von dem Bussche, A. (2017). *The EU general data protection regulation (GDPR): A practical guide*. Springer.
- Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, 359(6380), 1146-1151.
- Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. Public Affairs.